

Met Sacramento High School

810 V Street • Sacramento, CA 95818 • 916.395.5417 • Grades 9-12

Denise Lambert, Principal

Denise-lambert@metsacramento.org

<https://metsacramento.org/>

2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year



Sacramento City Unified School District
5735 47th Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95824
(916) 643-7400
www.scusd.edu

District Governing Board

Jessie Ryan, President, Area 7

Darrel Woo, 1st VP, Area 6

Michael Minnick, 2nd VP, Area 4

Lisa Murawski, Area 1

Leticia Garcia, Area 2

Christina Pritchett, Area 3

Mai Vang, Area 5

Rachel Halbo, Student Member

District Administration

Jorge Aguilar

Superintendent

Lisa Allen

Deputy Superintendent

Iris Taylor, EdD

Chief Academic Officer

John Quinto

Chief Business Officer

Cancy McArn

Chief Human Resources Officer

Alex Barrios

Chief Communication Officer

Cathy Allen

Chief Operations Officer

Vincent Harris

**Chief Continuous Improvement &
Accountability Officer**

Elliot Lopez

Chief Information Officer

Chad Sweitzer

Instructional Assistant Superintendent

School Description

Founded in 2003, Met Sacramento High School is one of the district's most innovative small high schools. The Met Sacramento is one of 100 schools nationwide that follows The Big Picture Learning program, which believes that schools must be personalized, educating every student equally, one student at a time. Each student's learning should grow out of his or her unique needs, interests, and passions.

The Met Sacramento prides itself on real-world learning, rigorous curriculum and a deep connection with all 300 of its students. We accomplish this with a student-friendly 1:25 student-to-teacher ratio. At Met Sac, we create academically rigorous project work for each student that combines The Big Picture Learning program's Five Learning goals—empirical reasoning, quantitative reasoning, communication, social reasoning, and personal qualities—with the student's personal interests and passions.

All students are required to learn through internships, ranging from work at the state capital, other schools, lawyers' offices, the zoo, various retail shops and a myriad of other local establishments. These internships both allow students to learn from mentors in the real world and transform the school into a shining community asset.

We have 12 teachers who are also referred to as advisors because they act as school counselors. These teacher-advisors, whom students work with their entire high school career, are the heart and soul of the school. Advisors are coaches, mentors, teachers, and managers who meet with families and guide students in learning how to manage their time, plan their work, find internships, and complete projects. Many students describe their advisor as family; often lifelong friendships are formed. In addition to those advisors, we have 3 support teachers and an RSP teacher, all of whom contribute to Met student's academic and social growth.

No matter what their chosen course, all students are required to develop post-high school plans, which include college, foreign exchange, or going into the work world. By continuing strong relationships with students and their families, we nurture student success.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	79
Grade 10	72
Grade 11	70
Grade 12	60
Total Enrollment	281

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	13.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4
Asian	2.5
Filipino	0.4
Hispanic or Latino	39.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4
White	34.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	59.4
English Learners	5.0
Students with Disabilities	12.5
Foster Youth	0.4

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials			
Met Sacramento High School	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	16	16	16
Without Full Credential	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	1	1	0
Sacramento City Unified School District	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	◆	◆	2007
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	116
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	◆	◆	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Met Sacramento High School	16-17	17-18	18-19
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

The district instructional material evaluation committee, consisted of members representing teachers, administrators, other staff, students, parents/guardians, and community, ensures that the selected textbooks, technology-based materials and other educational materials effectively support the district's adopted courses of study and meet current curricular goals and California Content Standards. Teachers, students, parents/guardians and community members have the opportunity to review and provide input about the instructional materials being considered for adoption. Recommendations for the adoption and/or withdrawal of instructional materials by the instructional material evaluation committee are presented to the Board by the Superintendent or designee and include documentation supporting the recommendation. In some instances, the considered textbooks and/or instructional materials are piloted for a period of time before being recommended for adoption to the Board of Education.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: February, 2018	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	<p>Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 3 English 9 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 4 English 10 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 5 English 11 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 6 English 12 2003 Short Takes, Model Essays for Composition, Ninth Edition, Pearson Longman English 11 AP 2007 The Well Crafted Argument, A Guide and Reader, Third Edition, Houghton Mifflin English 11 AP 2008 Literature: Reading, Fiction, Poetry and Drama, 6th Edition, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill English 12 AP 2007 Perrine's Literature: Structure, Sound, and Sense, Tenth Edition, Wadsworth Cengage Learning English 12 AP 2009</p> <p>Visions, Levels Basic and A, Student Workbooks, Levels Basic and A, Heinle & Heinle English Language Development 2003 Visions, Levels Basic and B and C, Student Workbooks, Levels Basic and B and C, Heinle & Heinle English Language Development 2003</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Mathematics	<p>CCSS Integrated Pathway: Mathematics I, Walch Education Mathematics 2012 CCSS Integrated Pathway: Mathematics II, Walch Education Mathematics 2012 CCSS Integrated Pathway: Mathematics III, Walch Education Mathematics 2012 Introduction To Statistics and Data Analysis, AP Ed. Brooks/Cole Statistics 2010 Precalculus with Unit-Circle Trigonometry, 4th ed., David Cohen, Thomson Brooks/Cole Pre Calculus 2006 Precalculus 3rd ed., Robert Blitzer, Pearson Prentice Hall Pre Calculus 2007 Precalculus with Limits 5th ed., Larsen, Hostetler and Edwards, Houghton Mifflin Pre Calculus 2008 Algebra and Trigonometry with Analytic Geometry, 9th Edition, Brooks and Cole Advanced Pre Calculus 1997 Calculus: Graphical, Numerical, Algebraic, 3rd ed (AP Edition) Pearson Prentice Hall Calculus AB or BC 2007 Calculus: Single Variable with Vector Functions, 1st ed. Thomson Brooks/Cole Calculus AB or BC 2007 Calculus of a Single Variable, 8th Edition, Houghton Mifflin Calculus AB or BC 2006</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>
Science	<p>Invitation to Psychology. Prentice Hall Psychology AP 2008 Psychology, 7th Ed., Worth Psychology 2004 Holt Earth Science, Holt, Rinehart and Winston Physical Science 2006 Biology: The Dynamics of Life, Glencoe Biology/Biophysical Science 2005 Modern Biology, Holt, Reinhart, and Winston Biology/Biophysical Science 2002 Biology, Prentice Hall Biology/Biophysical Science 2004 BSCS Biology: A Molecular Approach, 8th Edition, Glencoe Molecular Biology 2001 BSCS Biology: A Molecular Approach, 9th Edition, Glencoe Molecular Biology 2006 Biology, 8th ed. (AP) Pearson, Benjamin Cummings Biology AP 2008 Chemistry, Matters and Change, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill Chemistry 2005 Holt Modern Chemistry, Holt, Rinehart and Winston Chemistry 2006 Chemistry: The Central Science, 11th Edition, Prentice Hall Chemistry AP 2008 Chemistry, 7th ed, (AP Edition), Houghton Mifflin Chemistry AP 2007 Chemistry in the Community, Freeman Chemistry 2000 Conceptual Physics, 9th Edition, (Addison Wesley) Physics 2002 Physics: Principles and Problems, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill Physics 2005 Physics, 6th Edition, John Wiley and Son, Inc. Physics 2007 Hole's Essentials of Human Anatomy and Physiology, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill Human Anatomy and Physiology 2003</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>

Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Year and month in which data were collected: February, 2018

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
History-Social Science	World Geography, McDougal Littell Geography 2006 World Cultures and Geography, McDougal Littell Geography SDAIE 2003 World Geography and Cultures, Globe Fearon Geography SDAIE 2002 Human Legacy, Holt World History 2008 World History, 5th ed. Duiker and Spielvogel, Thomson Wadsworth World History AP 2007 American Anthem Modern American History, Holt, Rinehart and Winston U.S. History 2007 The American Journey: A History of the United States, Prentice Hall U.S. History AP 2001 Magruder's American Government, Pearson Prentice Hall U.S. Government 2005 American Government, 9th Edition, Houghton Mifflin U.S. Government AP 2004 Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The MET High School, built in 1942, received Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) overall repair rating score of "good" from its most recent facility inspection in May 2018. The district governing Board has adopted cleanliness standards for all district schools to ensure that all schools are clean, safe and functional. The district Operations Department takes great pride following a work order process that provides efficient and timely services to all the sites. The principal works directly with the site custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure that the school is clean and safe.

The passage of Measure Q, the district's 2012 general obligation bond, provided facility upgrade funding to all district schools. The district performed Quick Strike projects during the summer of 2014, which allowed schools to pick from one of the following improvements: 1) marquees, 2) closed-circuit television (CCTV) surveillance, 3) exterior painting, or 4) seal coating and striping of parking lots or playgrounds. Fire alarms at all the district schools were upgraded. Additional 10 million dollars have been allocated for safety and security upgrades, which are expected to begin in 2019.

Funding from the federal government through Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) provided for technology infrastructure improvements to the district's wireless connectivity. The improvements, which are expected to be completed by the end of 2019, will increase classroom internet access and digital voice communication.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 5/10/2018

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Fair	Classroom 1: Need ceiling tile - W.O. #122940. Classroom 13, 15, 16 and 21: Paint needed - W.O. #122223. Classroom 15: Paint needed - W.O. #122223. Classroom 16: Seal floor under carpet - W.O. #121674.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Classroom 4 and Classroom 5: Window won't close, no screen - W.O. #122686.
Overall Rating	Good	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
ELA	55.0	49.0	39.0	40.0	48.0	50.0
Math	19.0	12.0	31.0	32.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter

Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Grade Level	2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
9	18.7	20.0	9.3

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven				
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	68	67	98.53	49.25
Male	29	28	96.55	50.00
Female	39	39	100.00	48.72
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	27	26	96.30	50.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	24	24	100.00	54.17
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39	38	97.44	50.00
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

-Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

-Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven				
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	68	65	95.59	12.31
Male	29	27	93.1	14.81
Female	39	38	97.44	10.53
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	27	26	96.3	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	24	24	100	29.17
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39	36	92.31	5.56
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

-Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

-Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents and community are encouraged to serve on school governance committees such as our School Site Council, School Advisory Board and at the many family-friendly activities that take place over the course of the school year. Parents take part and are invited to Back-to-School, Open House, and other events, such as Black History night, Women's history night, our Cinco De Mayo event, and other events based on student projects. The Met also uses a Participatory Budgeting model, which allows staff, students, parents, and community members to vote on identified areas of need and which projects should be funded. Additionally, each year, parents must attend their child's four exhibition dates as well.

For more information on volunteering, please contact Denise Lambert, Victoria Lemus, or Avis Howard at (916) 395-5417.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Sacramento City Unified School District
Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2018-2019

Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow

Section 2.....Site Level Emergency Procedures:

(a) Lockdown Response

(b) Fire

(c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist

(d) Active Shooter

(e) Chemical Accident

(f) Severe Weather / Loss of Power (City of Sacramento)

Section 3.....Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 4..... Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter **

Section 5.....District Policies Related to Safety and Missing Student Protocol:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Suicide Risk Assessment

(d) Missing Student Protocol

Section 6.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 7.....Dangerous Student Notification / Email Notifications Made by IT Dept.

Section 8.....Wellness Plan

Section 9.....District Handbook

** To be determined by The American Red Cross and the Office of Emergency Services

Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11.....Site Level Incident Command System (ICS) roles and ICS Team

Section 12..... Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 12 (a)Emergency Phone Tree

Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on Campus

Section 14.....Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures and Route Maps

Section 15... Site Level Family Reunification Plans

Section 15(a)..... Reunification Logs

Section 16..... * Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 16(a).....Site Evacuation for Persons With Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List and Approval of CSSP

Section 18... Staff / School Handbook

Section 19.....Site Map (Please Label All Rooms)

Section 20.....OPTIONAL-Additional Site-Specific Safety Information

- Confidential

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District are revised annually to ensure the contents of the plans are uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 32282 of the 2018 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

Suspensions and Expulsions

School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	8.5	4.7	1.3
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	5.8	6.3	6.2
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
State	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)

Academic Counselor	0.4
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	290

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
English	22.0	21.0	22.0	4	8	8	13	8	8			
Mathematics	4.0	3.0	7.0	23	18	42						
Science	9.0	8.0	7.0	20	24	27	5	2	2			
Social Science	12.0	12.0	10.0	20	20	27	5	4	4			

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on Literacy (including ELA Common Core Standards), English Language Learners, Differentiation of Instruction, Data Inquiry, Culturally and Linguistically Teaching and Learning, and Conceptual Understanding of Mathematics. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

Professional learning opportunities are offered during school hours and outside of contracted hours. In addition to on-site coaching and regular professional learning opportunities, a designated collaborative Thursday time provides the opportunity for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective schools focusing on deepening their content knowledge, strengthening their instructional repertoire, improving their assessment strategies, and fostering a shared sense of responsibility.

FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,472	\$47,903
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$62,117	\$74,481
Highest Teacher Salary	\$93,765	\$98,269
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$120,748	\$123,495
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$127,364	\$129,482
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$139,247	\$142,414
Superintendent Salary	\$290,000	\$271,429
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	31.0	35.0
Administrative Salaries	5.0	5.0

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

SCUSD and district schools provide supplemental programs and services through categorical funds and other sources to support the needs of all students, including GATE, students with disabilities and English learners. Programs and services such as enrichment program, family engagement activities, supplemental instructional materials, and academic, social and emotional support programs help our students achieve grade level readiness, mastery of the California Common Core State Standards (CCSS), and college and career readiness. The MET Sacramento Charter School and district supplemental programs and services include:

- Class Size Reduction
- Tutoring
- Supplemental instructional materials and books
- Enrichment programs
- Access to technology
- Parent Education/Family Nights
- Social Services
- Translation for Home-School Communication
- Counseling (college, academic and career)
- Special Education
- Professional Development
- Support Staff and Counselors
- Supplemental Education Services
- School Choice

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)			
Met Sacramento High School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate	6.5	5.3	9.1
Graduation Rate	91.9	90.7	85.7
Sacramento City Unified School District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate	8.9	9.9	8.8
Graduation Rate	80.3	81.4	82.5
California	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate	10.7	9.7	9.1
Graduation Rate	82.3	83.8	82.7

FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$9,467	\$514	\$8,952	\$62,617
District	◆	◆	\$6,048	\$72,113
State	◆	◆	\$7,125	\$80,764
Percent Difference: School Site/District			15.2	-16.7
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			6.9	-28.4

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017-18 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	97.5
2016-17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	44.3

* Where there are student course enrollments.

2017-18 Advanced Placement Courses		
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	◆
English	0	◆
Fine and Performing Arts	0	◆
Foreign Language	0	◆
Mathematics	1	◆
Science	0	◆
Social Science	0	◆
All courses	1	6.1

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2017		
	School	District	State
All Students	95.9	82.7	88.7
Black or African American	80.0	74.1	82.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0	76.9	82.8
Asian	100.0	91.0	94.9
Filipino	0.0	95.7	93.5
Hispanic or Latino	95.2	82.8	86.5
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.0	73.1	88.6
White	100.0	81.1	92.1
Two or More Races	100.0	86.3	91.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	97.1	84.6	88.6
English Learners	0.0	64.9	56.7
Students with Disabilities	100.0	58.5	67.1
Foster Youth	100.0	60.9	74.1

Career Technical Education Programs

The College and Career Readiness Department provides high quality support to all schools in Sacramento City Unified as they move to adopt a “Linked Learning” approach preparing students for career and post-secondary experiences. The department also works closely with industry and community partners as well as post-secondary institutions to support our schools in preparing our students to be successful after graduation.

Linked Learning is a career-themed approach to learning that prepares students for their future: academic preparation for college and professional skills for success in the workplace. Students study through a profession that interests them, learning challenging academics and professional skills in the classroom while getting real world experience through internships. No matter which professional interest they choose, Linked Learning students develop into adults who are prepared for college, career, and life after high school.

The CTE programs offered at each of the SCUSD high schools are diverse and unique to the specific culture and needs of each school. The Career Technical Education (CTE) pathways represent the twelve (12) Industry Sectors identified by the state.

CTE programs and courses are reviewed by CTE District Wide Advisory Committee comprised of a variety of people including, but not limited to, business partners, community leaders, parents, students, educators and other interested parties. The committee discusses awareness, recruitment, placement, and retention of middle, high school students in CTE classes; local labor market, and school/business partnerships. Career technical education updates, evaluations and funding will also be reviewed. The committee is also responsible for approving the SCUSD Career Technical Education Plan.

At the Met Sacramento High School, students all have internships 2 days per week. While these are not CTE programs, per se, they provide opportunities for student to earn credit while pursuing their interest area in a specific career field. Additionally, students do projects at these sites that both benefit the mentors and provide challenging, authentic curriculum to the students. These experiences bolster the students resumes, and, more importantly, build the skills that they will need in college and beyond, including communication, critical thinking, and collaboration, which are often the touchstones of an effective employee.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.